

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/553,471	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OSTANIN, VICTOR PETROVICH	
	<b>Examiner</b> ROSE M. MILLER	<b>Art Unit</b> 2856	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) ROSE M. MILLER.

(2) David Edmondson.

**Date of Interview:** 28 January 2009

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 1:20 pm

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*103 of claims 1-14 and 16-24 given in first office action*

Claims discussed:  
*1-8, 10-22, 24*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*art of record*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Reached agreement on Amendment to Drawings as the Drawing sheet submitted 26 November 2008 was not in compliance as it was not labeled as a "Replacement Sheet".*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)